

Mercury in human brain, blood, muscle and toenails in relation to exposure: an autopsy study

[Lars Björkman](#),^{1,2} [Birgitte F Lundekvam](#),¹ [Torgils Lægreid](#),² [Bjørn I Bertelsen](#),³ [Inge Morild](#),^{3,4} [Peer Lilleng](#),^{3,4} [Birger Lind](#),⁵ [Brita Palm](#),⁵ and [Marie Vahter](#)⁵

[Author information](#) [Article notes](#) [Copyright and License information](#) [Disclaimer](#)

This article has been [cited by](#) other articles in PMC.

Abstract

[Go to:](#)

Background

Most people are to some degree exposed to mercury vapor via dental amalgam restorations, inorganic Hg²⁺ via food, methylmercury (CH₃Hg⁺) via fish and sea mammals and thimerosal in vaccines [1]. In addition, some people are exposed to mercury in occupational settings. Dental personnel constitute one of the largest groups with occupational exposure to elemental mercury. Exposure may also occur in the production of electrical devices (batteries, switches, and fluorescent light bulbs) and in the production of chlorine and sodium hydroxide using electrolysis [1]. From a toxicological point of view the MeHg exposure via seafood and mercury vapor is the most important.

For decades the potential health risks from mercury exposure from dental amalgam restorations have been reviewed and evaluated [2-6]. It is well known that amalgam restorations continuously release elemental mercury vapor [7,8], which is inhaled and absorbed by the body and distributed to tissues including the brain [9-11]. While it is clear that MeHg easily passes the blood brain barrier leading to higher levels of MeHg in brain than in blood, it is generally not believed that mercury in blood reflects the concentrations of inorganic mercury in the brain [12]. From a diagnostic point of view it is important to find out to what extent mercury levels in blood and other tissues may reflect concentrations of inorganic mercury in brain in a population with exposure to both methylmercury from fish and elemental mercury vapor from dental amalgam.

The aim of the present study was to explore the relationships between inorganic and organic mercury in brain (occipital lobe cortex) and in blood and other tissues in individuals without occupational exposure to inorganic mercury and to evaluate the use of blood and toenails as biological indicator media for inorganic and organic mercury (MeHg) in brain and other tissues.

[Go to:](#)

Methods

Study population and sampling

In conjunction with routine autopsies at the Department of Pathology and the Department for Forensic medicine, the Gade Institute, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway, samples from occipital lobe, thyroid gland, pituitary gland, abdominal muscle, toenails and blood from *vena femoralis* and the heart were collected from 30 deceased individuals. All autopsies were carried out within 3 days after death. Occupational exposure to mercury was used as an exclusion criterion. An equal distribution of men and women was aimed at.

Oral examination was carried out in every case. All dental restorations were recorded. Tooth surfaces filled with amalgam, composites or gold, and number of metaloceramic crowns, partial or complete fixed and removable dentures, and missing teeth were noted. Information on current and previous use of tobacco was obtained from the present hospital record as well as information on alcohol abuse, diseases and medication.

The project protocol was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in Western Norway (#173.02), reviewed in relation to privacy and license requirements according to the Personal Data Registers Act by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services. According to Norwegian legislation the study was approved and registered as a temporary biobank by the Ministry of Health. Permission for sending human tissue samples for analyses out of Norway was given by the Directorate for Health and Social Affairs.

Sampling procedure

Duplicate samples of blood from *vena femoralis* were collected after removal of the intestines by squeezing the blood out of the vene into a beaker. The samples were transferred into glass tubes with heparin as anticoagulant (BD Vacutainer® Trace Element Tube, sodium heparin 119 IU, Becton Dickinson, UK), mixed well and transferred into polypropylene tubes (Sarstedt AG Co., Germany). Samples of blood from the heart were collected by aspiration into a syringe using a stainless steel cannula before the heart was opened. The samples were then treated as blood from *vena femoralis*. In some cases it was not possible to aspirate blood from the heart. Duplicate samples (about 3–4 g each) were collected from the occipital lobe by use of an acid washed scalpel (10 % HNO₃) to minimize contamination and transferred into polypropylene tubes (Sarstedt AG Co., Germany). Samples from abdominal muscle (n = 30), pituitary (n = 30), thyroid (n = 28) and toenails (n = 29) were also collected. Generally, nails were clipped prior to the autopsy, and only small samples with a length of about 1 mm were available for collection. Clippings were collected from all toenails using a stainless steel scissors. Samples between 7.6 to 68 mg were used for analysis. All samples were frozen the same day and stored at -80°C. Before analysis, samples from occipital lobe were placed on a hydrocarbon wax film (Parafilm M®, SPI Supplies Division, Structure Probe Inc., West Chester, PA, USA) and the gray matter (cortex) was separated from the white matter of the samples using acid washed instruments. Only the gray matter was analyzed for mercury.

Analyses of inorganic and total mercury by CVAFS

Samples of blood (from *vena femoralis* and the heart) and occipital lobe cortex were sent to Karolinska Institutet for speciation analyses of mercury. Briefly, the samples were solubilized in a mixture of L-cysteine (1 %), NaOH (45 %), and NaCl (1 %). Tissue samples were heated to complete the solubilization. Subsequently the solubilized samples were subjected to reduction by SnCl_2 (for the determination of inorganic mercury) or a mixture of CdCl_2 and SnCl_2 (for the determination of total mercury). Mercury was determined by an automated multiple injection cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometric system (CVAFS; Merlin, PSA 10.023; P.S. Analytical Ltd., Orpington, Kent, UK) as previously described for blood [13]. The instrumentation is described in detail elsewhere [14]. Concentration of MeHg in tissue was estimated by subtracting the I-Hg concentration from the total-Hg concentration. Mercury content was related to the wet weight of the sample.

Analyses of mercury by ICP-SFMS

Samples of blood from *vena femoralis* and of occipital lobe cortex, abdominal muscle, thyroid, pituitary gland, and toenails were analyzed for total mercury by double-focusing, sector field inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-SFMS). The samples were subjected to microwave-assisted digestion with nitric acid as described previously for blood [15,16], and other soft tissues [17] and nails [18,19] at a commercial laboratory (ALS Analytica AB, Luleå, Sweden) [20]. The toenails were not cleansed before analysis.

Analytical quality control

Reference materials LUTS-1 (non defatted lobster hepatopancreas; National Research Council of Canada/Institute for National Measurement Standards), Seronorm 404107X and Seronorm 404108 (whole blood; SERO A/S, Asker, Norway) were analyzed together with the samples as described for the analyses of inorganic and total mercury. For the analytical quality control of the analyses by ICP-SFMS the reference materials LUTS-1, SRM 8414 (bovine muscle powder; NIST), SRM 1577a (bovine liver; NIST) were used. Duplicate samples of blood from *vena femoralis* were analyzed for total mercury both at Karolinska Institutet using CVAFS and at Analytica AB using ICP-SFMS giving an opportunity to compare the methods. Although it could be expected that the mercury concentrations in the duplicate samples from occipital lobe cortex were not identical, duplicate samples were analyzed for total mercury by both methods (CVAFS and ICP-SFMS). MeHg in the reference material LUTS-1 was in addition determined at Analytica AB after digestion in methanolic KOH, extraction and ethylation of MeHg followed by determination by coupled gas chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (GC-ICPMS) [21].

Statistical methods

Associations between concentrations of mercury in blood and tissues were evaluated statistically by correlational analyses. Multiple linear regression was used to evaluate the importance of background variables (age, gender) and exposure indicators (number of amalgam surfaces, MeHg in blood) on concentration of mercury concentration in tissue. A stepwise backward method was used, entering all independent variables (age, gender, number of amalgam surfaces, MeHg in blood) at the first step. In the following step(s) the

variables were removed, one at the time, if the assigned p-value was found to be 0.10 or higher. SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA.) was used for the calculations.

[Go to:](#)

Results

Tissue samples from 13 women and 17 men (overall mean age 68 years, range 47 to 91 years, standard deviation 11.8 years) were collected (Table [Table 1](#)). Scrutiny of the present hospital records did not in any case reveal current or previous occupational exposure to mercury. There were two cases with documented alcohol abuse and 10 had received blood transfusions during the last months. The individuals had on average 13.2 dental amalgam surfaces (range 0 to 50 surfaces).

Table 1

Demographic data on the individuals included in the study.

Case #	Gender	Age (years)	Smoking status ^a	Alcohol abuse ^b	Amalgam surfaces	Transfusion ^c
1	Male	79	CS	Y	0	Y
2	Female	78	N/A	N	6	N
3	Male	65	CS	N	4	Y
4	Male	73	NS	N	0	Y
5	Male	71	CS	N	5	Y
6	Male	48	N/A	N	43	N

Case #	Gender	Age (years)	Smoking status ^a	Alcohol abuse ^b	Amalgam surfaces	Transfusion ^c
7	Male	67	FS	N	1	N
8	Male	63	FS	N	43	N
9	Male	61	N/A	N	13	N
10	Female	78	CS	N	11	N
11	Male	71	CS	Y	0	Y
12	Male	90	NS	N	0	N
13	Female	70	FS	N	0	N
14	Male	78	N/A	N	0	N
15	Male	71	CS	N	2	Y
16	Female	63	CS	N	24	Y

Case #	Gender	Age (years)	Smoking status ^a	Alcohol abuse ^b	Amalgam surfaces	Transfusion ^c
17	Female	49	NS	N	42	N
18	Male	49	CS	N	29	Y
19	Male	71	FS	N	11	Y
20	Male	65	N/A	N	12	Y
21	Male	79	CS	N	0	N
22	Male	47	CS	N	0	N
23	Female	62	FS	N	5	N
24	Female	54	N/A	N	50	N
25	Female	78	FS	N	0	N
26	Female	64	N/A	N	24	N

Case #	Gender	Age (years)	Smoking status ^a	Alcohol abuse ^b	Amalgam surfaces	Transfusion ^c
27	Female	56	NS	N	24	N
28 ^d	Female	91	NS	N	13	N
29	Female	83	N/A	N	0	N
30	Female	68	N/A	N	34	N

[Open in a separate window](#)

a) CS: Current smoker; FS: Former smoker; NS: Non smoker; N/A: Data not available

b) As noted in the hospital record (Yes/No)

c) Blood transfusion the last three months as noted in the hospital record (Yes/No)

d) Previous occupational exposure to mercury (retired dental nurse)

The median concentrations of MeHg and I-Hg in blood were 2.2 and 1.0 µg/L, respectively (Table (Table2).2). At an average, the MeHg/total-Hg ratio in blood was 0.62 (SD 0.22, median 0.67) with a range from 0.18 to 0.95. Mercury in tissue samples was related to the wet weight of the sample. In occipital lobe cortex the median concentrations of MeHg and I-Hg were 4 and 5 µg/kg wet weight, respectively. In one of the samples from occipital cortex the concentration of I-Hg (164 µg/kg) was 9 times higher than the concentration of the second highest case and fulfilled the criteria of an "extreme outlier" from a statistical point of view [22] (more than the value of the 75th percentile plus three times the inter quartile range). In order to get additional information on this case (woman, age 91), historical hospital records were examined and it was found that she had been employed as a dental assistant earlier in life. Therefore, the associations between number of amalgam surfaces and concentration of total-Hg, MeHg and I-Hg in blood and tissues were analyzed both with and without this case (number 28, Table Table1).1). Historical hospital records were not checked for the other cases.

Table 2

Results from determinations of methylmercury, inorganic mercury and total mercury in tissues.

Tissue	Analyte ^a	Median	Mean ^b	SD	Min	Max	10-percentile	90-percentile
Blood (v. femoralis) (µg/L)	T-Hg	3.3	5	5.3	0.9	27.4	1.4	12.5
	I-Hg	1.0	2.3	4.2	0.1	22.4	0.2	5.2
	MeHg	2.2	2.7	2.3	0.3	10.9	0.9	6.2
Occipital cortex (µg/kg)	T-Hg	12	18	32	1	181	5	28
	I-Hg	5	12	29	2	164	3	18
	MeHg	4	6	6	<D.L. ^c	28	1	16
Abdominal muscle (µg/kg)	T-Hg	3	5	6	0.3	29	0.9	12
Thyroid gland (n = 28) (µg/kg)	T-Hg	19	55	186	2.7	1000	5.1	47
Pituitary gland (µg/kg)	T-Hg	43	200	540	4.9	2900	12	460
Toenails (n = 29) (µg/kg)	T-Hg	236	280	214	64	856	67	624

a) T-Hg: Total mercury concentration; I-Hg: Inorganic mercury concentration; MeHg: Methylmercury concentration

b) Samples with concentrations below detection limit were given a value of half the detection limit of the actual analytical run

c) Detection Limit

Abdominal muscle contained generally less than 30 µg/kg mercury (median 3 µg/kg). Both thyroid and pituitary contained considerably higher mercury concentrations; the median values were 19 µg/kg and 43 µg/kg, respectively, and there was a considerable variation ranging to 1,000 and 2,900 µg/kg, respectively (Table (Table2).2). The highest median total-Hg concentration was found in toenails (median 236 µg/kg), although the variation was small; the highest value being just 3.6 times higher than the median. Contrary to blood from *vena femoralis*, samples from heart blood were highly inhomogeneous due to extensive coagulation and we did not consider the concentrations obtained in those samples as reliable. Thus, no data are presented for heart blood.

Correlational analyses (Table (Table3)3) showed a significant association between MeHg in blood and brain cortex (Figure (Figure1).1). Further, significant correlations were found between total-Hg in toenails and MeHg in blood (correlation coefficient 0.634, $n = 29$, $p < 0.001$; Figure Figure1)1) and occipital lobe cortex (correlation coefficient 0.586, $n = 29$, $p = 0.001$; Figure Figure22).

Table 3

Correlation coefficients between concentrations of mercury in tissues, age and number of amalgam surfaces. ^a

		I-Hg in blood	MeHg in blood	I-Hg in occipital cortex	MeHg in occipital cortex	Hg in occipital cortex	Hg in pituitary	Hg in thyroid	Hg in toenails	Hg in abdominal muscle	Age	Filled surface s – amalgam
I-Hg in blood	Correlation		0.251	-0.026	0.052	0.006	-0.062	-0.039	0.065	-0.045	-0.402	0.432
	Sig. (2-		0.181	0.891	0.784	0.974	0.744	0.845	0.738	0.815	0.028	0.017

		I-Hg in blood	MeHg g in blood	I-Hg in occipit al cortex	MeHg in occipit al cortex	Hg in occipit al cortex	Hg in pituita ry	Hg in thyro id	Hg in toena il	Hg in abdomi nal muscle	Age	Filled surface s – amalga m
	tailed)											
	N		30	30	30	30	30	28	29	30	30	30
MeHg in blood	Correlati on	0.24 6		-0.071	0.725	0.232	-0.107	- 0.090	0.634	0.230	0.055	-0.126
	Sig. (2- tailed)	0.19 8		0.710	<0.00 1	0.218	0.572	0.648	<0.0 01	0.221	0.774	0.507
	N	29		30	30	30	30	28	29	30	30	30
I-Hg in occipita l cortex	Correlati on	0.25 3	0.134		0.339	0.927	0.967	0.993	- 0.086	-0.155	0.334	0.081
	Sig. (2- tailed)	0.18 6	0.490		0.067	<0.00 1	<0.00 1	<0.00 1	0.656	0.414	0.071	0.669

		I-Hg in blood	MeHg g in blood	I-Hg in occipital cortex	MeHg in occipital cortex	Hg in occipital cortex	Hg in pituitary	Hg in thyroid	Hg in toenail	Hg in abdominal muscle	Age	Filled surface s – amalgam
	N	29	29		30	30	30	28	29	30	30	30
MeHg in occipital cortex	Correlation	0.079	0.804	0.081		0.617	0.287	0.336	0.586	0.258	0.154	-0.136
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.686	<0.001	0.677		<0.001	0.124	0.081	0.001	0.169	0.416	0.472
	N	29	29	29		30	30	28	29	30	30	30
Hg in occipital cortex	Correlation	0.147	0.713	0.604	0.768		0.904	0.910	0.154	-0.048	0.295	0.080
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.447	<0.001	0.001	<0.001		<0.001	<0.001	0.425	0.800	0.113	0.676


		I-Hg in blood	MeHg g in blood	I-Hg in occipital cortex	MeHg in occipital cortex	Hg in occipital cortex	Hg in pituitary	Hg in thyroid	Hg in toenail	Hg in abdominal muscle	Age	Filled surface s – amalgam
	N	29	29	29	29		30	28	29	30	30	30
Hg in pituitary	Correlation	-0.002	-0.062	0.652	-0.086	0.390	0.953	-0.100	-0.149	0.261	0.173	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.993	0.748	<0.001	0.659	0.036	<0.001	0.607	0.432	0.163	0.360	
	N	29	29	29	29	29	28	29	30	30	30	
Hg in thyroid	Correlation	0.352	0.142	0.602	0.105	0.396	0.402	-0.087	-0.125	0.351	0.031	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.072	0.479	0.001	0.603	0.041	0.038	0.667	0.525	0.067	0.875	
	N	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	28	28	28	

		I-Hg in blood	MeHg g in blood	I-Hg in occipital cortex	MeHg in occipital cortex	Hg in occipital cortex	Hg in pituitary	Hg in thyroid	Hg in toenail	Hg in abdominal muscle	Age	Filled surface s – amalgam
Hg in toenail	Correlation	0.060	0.631	-0.093	0.649	0.525	-0.095	-0.091		0.139	0.158	-0.328
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.760	<0.001	0.638	<0.001	0.004	0.629	0.660		0.472	0.414	0.083
	N	28	28	28	28	28	28	26		29	29	29
Hg in abdominal muscle	Correlation	-0.055	0.220	-0.079	0.328	0.186	-0.038	0.335	0.130		-0.003	-0.081
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.778	0.251	0.683	0.083	0.334	0.847	0.088	0.510		0.988	0.672
	N	29	29	29	29	29	29	27	28		30	30

		I-Hg in blood	MeHg in blood	I-Hg in occipital cortex	MeHg in occipital cortex	Hg in occipital cortex	Hg in pituitary	Hg in thyroid	Hg in toenail	Hg in abdominal muscle	Age	Filled surfaces – amalgam
Age	Correlation	-0.408	0.096	-0.208	0.037	-0.084	-0.287	-0.215	0.200	0.055		-0.610
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.028	0.621	0.278	0.849	0.664	0.131	0.282	0.308	0.778		<0.001
	N	29	29	29	29	29	29	27	28	29		30
Filled surfaces – amalgam	Correlation	0.433	-0.127	0.550	-0.144	0.185	0.541	0.530	-0.328	-0.082		-0.655
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.019	0.513	0.002	0.457	0.337	0.002	0.004	0.088	0.673		<0.001
	N	29	29	29	29	29	29	27	28	29		29


[Open in a separate window](#)

^aThe upper part of the table (above the diagonal) shows the correlations using all cases (n = 30) and the lower part (below the diagonal) shows the correlations after exclusion of the case with previous occupational exposure to mercury (dental nurse, see text). P-values and number of cases included in the analysis are given. Significant correlations (p < 0.05) in bold.



[Figure 1](#)

Methylmercury in blood related to concentration of methylmercury in brain and total-Hg in toenails. Concentration of methylmercury in blood ($C_{\text{MeHg-Blood}}$; $\mu\text{g Hg/L}$) related to concentration of (a) methylmercury in occipital lobe cortex ($C_{\text{MeHg-Brain}}$; $\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$) and (b) concentration of total-Hg in toenails ($C_{\text{Hg-Toenail}}$; $\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$). The equations for the regression lines were (a) $C_{\text{MeHg-Brain}} = 2.0 \times C_{\text{MeHg-blood}} + 0.8$, (correlation coefficient 0.725, n = 30, p < 0.001) and (b) $C_{\text{Hg-toenail}} = 59 \times C_{\text{MeHg-Blood}} + 125$, (correlation coefficient 0.634, n = 29, p < 0.001).




[Open in a separate window](#)

Figure 2

Concentration of methylmercury in brain related to concentration of total-Hg in toenails.

Concentration of methylmercury in occipital lobe cortex ($C_{\text{MeHg-Brain}}$; $\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$) related to concentration of total-Hg in toenails ($C_{\text{Hg-Toenail}}$; $\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$). The equation for the regression line was $C_{\text{MeHg-Brain}} = 0.017 \times C_{\text{Hg-toenail}} + 1.5$, and the correlation coefficient was 0.586 ($n = 29$, $p = 0.001$).

There was a significant correlation between I-Hg in blood and the number of surfaces filled with dental amalgam at time of death ($p = 0.019$, Figure [Figure3\).3](#)). Inclusion of the data from case 28 (the retired dental nurse) did not change this finding (Table [Table3\).3](#)). Both I-Hg in blood and number of surfaces filled with dental amalgam decreased significantly with age (Table [Table3\).3](#)). An increase in age by 10 years (from 50 to 60 years of age) was associated with a decrease in number of surfaces filled with dental amalgam, from 28.0 to 19.8 at the age of 60.




[Open in a separate window](#)

Figure 3

Amalgam surfaces related to I-Hg in blood and brain, and total-Hg in pituitary and thyroid. Number of amalgam surfaces related to concentration of (a) inorganic Hg in blood ($C_{I-Hg-Blood}$; µg Hg/L), (b) inorganic Hg in occipital cortex ($C_{I-Hg-Brain}$; µg Hg/kg), (c) total-Hg in pituitary ($C_{Pituitary}$; µg Hg/kg) and (d) total-Hg in thyroid ($C_{Thyroid}$; µg Hg/kg). The equations for the regression lines were (a) $C_{I-Hg-Blood} = 0.12 \times [\text{number of amalgam surfaces}] + 0.79$, (correlation coefficient 0.433, $n = 29$, $p = 0.019$), (b) $C_{I-Hg-Brain} = 0.15 \times [\text{number of amalgam surfaces}] + 4.4$, (correlation coefficient 0.550, $n = 29$, $p = 0.002$), (c) $C_{Pituitary} = 6.0 \times [\text{number of amalgam surfaces}] + 25.5$, (correlation coefficient 0.541, $n = 29$, $p = 0.002$), and (d) $C_{Thyroid} = 0.49 \times [\text{number of amalgam surfaces}] + 13.7$, (correlation coefficient 0.530, $n = 27$, $p = 0.004$).

After exclusion of the retired dental nurse (case 28) the correlation coefficients between number of amalgam surfaces at time of death and concentration of I-Hg in occipital cortex, pituitary and thyroid were highly significant (Figure (Figure3).3). The correlation


coefficients were 0.55 ($p = 0.002$, $n = 29$), 0.54 ($p = 0.002$, $n = 29$) and 0.53 ($p = 0.004$, $n = 27$), respectively (Table (Table3).3). There was no significant association between I-Hg in blood and occipital lobe cortex ($r = -0.026$, $p = 0.891$, $n = 30$; Table Table33 and Figure Figure4).4). I-Hg in occipital lobe cortex was significantly correlated with total mercury in thyroid and pituitary (Table (Table3,3, Figure Figure55 and and66).



[Open in a separate window](#)

Figure 4


I-Hg in brain related to I-Hg blood. Concentration of inorganic Hg in occipital cortex related to concentration of inorganic Hg blood. The correlation coefficient was not significant ($r = -0.026$, $p = 0.891$, $n = 30$). When the dental nurse (case 28, denoted with * in the Figure) was excluded, the correlation coefficient was 0.253 ($p = 0.186$, $n = 29$).



[Open in a separate window](#)

Figure 5

I-Hg in brain related to concentration of total-Hg in thyroid. Concentration of inorganic Hg in occipital cortex related to concentration of total-Hg in thyroid gland. The correlation coefficient of the \log_{10} transformed values was 0.769 ($n = 28$, $p < 0.001$).



[Open in a separate window](#)

Figure 6

I-Hg in brain related to total-Hg in pituitary. Concentration of inorganic Hg in occipital cortex related to concentration of total-Hg in pituitary. The correlation coefficient of the \log_{10} transformed values was 0.693 ($n = 30$, $p < 0.001$).

Using the number of teeth filled with dental amalgam as a substitute for number of tooth surfaces filled with dental amalgam as estimate of amalgam exposure, similar results with only minor variations of the correlation coefficients were found. Nor was there any major change in the correlation coefficients when a more detailed measure of amalgam exposure ("amalgam points") [23] was used instead of number of surfaces filled with dental amalgam. The correlation coefficient between I-Hg in occipital lobe cortex and number of teeth filled with dental amalgam was 0.577 ($p = 0.001$, $n = 29$) and the correlation coefficient between I-Hg in occipital lobe cortex and "amalgam points" was 0.552 ($p = 0.002$, $n = 29$).

Analysis by linear multiple regression (stepwise, backward) with I-Hg in brain cortex as dependent variable and number of surfaces filled with amalgam, age, gender, and MeHg in blood as independent variables (excluding case 28 with previous occupational exposure to mercury) showed a significant effect from exposure to amalgam restorations ($p = 0.002$, Table [Table4\).4](#)). The regression coefficient of 0.153 (95 % confidence interval 0.061 to 0.245) indicated an average increase of about 1.5 μg I-Hg/kg brain cortex for each 10 amalgam filled surfaces. The other independent variables (age, gender, and MeHg in blood) were not significant in the model (Table [\(Table44\)](#)).

Table 4

Results from linear multiple regression analyses. ^a

Dependent variable	Independent variable(s) in the final model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95 % Confidence Interval for B	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
a) I-Hg in brain cortex	Constant	4.366	0.925		4.720	<0.001	2.468	6.264
	Filled surfaces – amalgam	0.153	0.045	0.550	3.423	0.002	0.061	0.245
b) Total-Hg in pituitary	Constant	25.540	36.893		0.692	0.495	-50.158	101.238

Dependent variable	Independent variable(s) in the final model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95 % Confidence Interval for B	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	Filled surfaces – amalgam	5.972	1.788	0.541	3.340	0.002	2.304	9.640
c) Total-Hg in thyroid	Constant	13.682	3.359		4.073	<0.001	6.763	20.601
	Filled surfaces – amalgam	0.491	0.157	0.530	3.126	0.004	0.168	0.815
d) Total-Hg in toenails	Constant	126.115	50.564		2.494	.019	22.180	230.051
	MeHg in blood (µg/L)	58.888	14.190	0.631	4.150	<0.001	29.720	88.056

^a Final results from linear multiple regression analyses using number of surfaces filled with amalgam, age, gender, and MeHg in blood as independent variables (all variables entered at the first step, and removed in the

following step(s) if the p-value of the variable was ≥ 0.10) and (a) I-Hg in brain cortex ($\mu\text{g I-Hg/kg}$) as dependent variable, (b) Total-Hg in pituitary ($\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$) as dependent variable, (c) Total-Hg in thyroid ($\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$) as dependent variable and (d) Total-Hg in toenails ($\mu\text{g Hg/kg}$) as dependent variable. The case with previous occupational exposure to mercury (case 28) was excluded from the regression analyses (see text). N = 29 in model (a) and (b), n = 27 in model (c) and n = 28 in model (d).

Similar regression models were used for analyses of total mercury in pituitary (n = 29), thyroid (n = 27) and toenail (n = 28). The results showed significant effects from number of surfaces filled with amalgam for the models for pituitary and thyroid. In the model for total-Hg in toenail, only MeHg in blood was significant (Table [Table44](#)).

Results from the analytical quality control are given in Table [Table5.5](#). Scatterplots of data from determinations of Hg by CVAFS and ICP-SFMS in duplicate samples of blood and occipital cortex are shown in Figure [Figure77](#).

Table 5

Results from the analytical quality control

Concentrations found						
Material	Analyte ^a	Mean	SD	N	Method	Recommended (or certified) values
LUTS-1; ng/g	T-Hg	16.1	-	1	CVAFS	19.98 (0.20) ^b
	I-Hg	14.1	-	1	CVAFS	-
	MeHg ^d	2.0	-	1	CVAFS	2.50 (0.21) ^b
LUTS-1; ng/g	MeHg ^d	2.7	-	1	GC-ICPMS	2.50 (0.21) ^b

Concentrations found

Material	Analyte ^a	Mean	SD	N	Method	Recommended (or certified) values
Seronom 404107X; µg/L	T-Hg	2.5	0.14	3	CVAFS	3 (2.2–3.3) ^c
	I-Hg	0.5	0.03	3	CVAFS	-
	MeHg ^d	2.0	0.13	3	CVAFS	-
Seronom 404108; µg/L	T-Hg	8.5	0.74	4	CVAFS	8 (6.7–8.4) ^c
	I-Hg	6.3	0.18	4	CVAFS	-
	MeHg ^d	2.2	0.68	4	CVAFS	-
LUTS-1; ng/g	T-Hg	19.9	1.19	12	ICP-SFMS	19.98 (0.20) ^b
SRM 8414; ng/g	T-Hg	5.5	0.63	8	ICP-SFMS	5 ± 3 ^e

Concentrations found

Material	Analyte ^a	Mean	SD	N	Method	Recommended (or certified) values
SRM 1577a; ng/g	T-Hg	3.6	0.51	8	ICP-SFMS	4 ± 2^e

a) T-Hg: Total mercury concentration; I-Hg: Inorganic mercury concentration; MeHg: Methylmercury concentration

b) Mean and one standard deviation, n = 4 for Hg and n = 5 for MeHg (Revised value by February 14, 2005; written personal communication, Dr. Ralph Sturgeon, National Research Council of Canada/Institute for National Measurement Standards). Values from the certificate, revised in August 1995, were 16.7 for T-Hg and 9.4 for MeHg with 95 percent confidence limits of 2.2 and 0.6, respectively.

c) Recommended value (range)

d) as Hg

e) Estimated uncertainties




Figure 7

Determinations of total-Hg by ICP-SFMS related to determinations of total-Hg by CVAFS.

Data from determinations of total-Hg by ICP-SFMS related to determinations of total-Hg by CVAFS in duplicate samples of blood (a) and occipital cortex (b). Correlation coefficients were 0.979 (n = 30) and 0.971 (n = 30), respectively.

[Go to:](#)

Discussion

This study provides support to the importance of dental amalgam as a source of I-Hg in both blood and brain. In addition, total-Hg concentration in pituitary and thyroid was strongly associated with the number of dental amalgam surfaces. However, there is no evidence from epidemiological studies that exposure to dental amalgam restorations is associated with disease, impaired neuropsychological functions or prevalence of general health complaints [24-29]. Nevertheless, an association between amalgam exposure and subclinical neurological effects have been reported [30], which may be consistent with an association between cumulative amalgam exposure and some neurological diagnoses as reported by Bates et al. [28].

Although the number of amalgam surfaces at the time of death do not reflect lifetime cumulative exposure to Hg⁰ or dental amalgam restorations, there was a significant correlation between amalgam surfaces at the time of death and concentration of I-Hg in brain at the time of death. This suggests that the biological half-time in the brain of the main fraction of Hg stored in brain after low level Hg⁰ exposure from dental amalgam restorations and other low level environmental sources is relatively short and that other environmental sources have limited importance at a group level.

As expected, we found a positive association between MeHg in blood and brain cortex. Interestingly, we also found a significant correlation between total mercury in toenail on the one hand and MeHg in blood and brain cortex on the other. The toenail clippings were collected from all toes, reflecting an integrated measure of incorporated mercury between 100 and 140 days before death, based on a mean toenail growth rate of 0.07 mm/day [31]. Thus, toenail clippings of about 1 mm would more closely reflect blood levels at a time window of about two weeks; 3 to 5 months prior to the clipping, and the correlation should be interpreted with this in mind. Toenail mercury has, like hair mercury, been used in several studies as indicator of exposure and in order to get a better understanding of the relations between MeHg exposure and in MeHg in blood and toenail the lag time should be considered. There was no significant association between total mercury in toenail and I-Hg, which fits with the assumption that mercury in toenails, like that in hair [14], is likely to be almost exclusively MeHg. Thus, total mercury in toenails may be an indicator of MeHg stored in the body, and may be a useful complement to mercury in hair for MeHg exposure assessment [32]. The ratio of total Hg in nail to MeHg in blood was about 100, which is less than one third of the hair to blood ratio [14].

A small fraction of MeHg in the brain demethylates to inorganic mercury, which is retained for very long times, especially in pituitary and thalamus [33], probably mainly due to association with selenium to highly insoluble mercury selenide [34]. Consequently, a fraction

of the inorganic mercury in brain could be related to past exposure to MeHg. Possibly, the absence of an increase in I-Hg in occipital cortex by age was due to the relatively low exposure to methylmercury in this population [35,36]. Because of the relatively low MeHg exposure the MeHg level in blood was low, and consequently the MeHg/total-Hg ratio in blood was low compared with populations with high exposure to MeHg and low exposure to I-Hg [37]. However, there was a wide variation in the individual MeHg/total-Hg ratio in blood indicating that the group was heterogeneous with regard to MeHg exposure, fish intake and exposure to I-Hg.

It is well known that drugs may be redistributed within hours after death, which may result in erroneous *post mortem* values in blood [38]. In the present study, the median concentration of total-Hg in blood was similar to levels found in Norwegian populations [39,40]. Thus, the use of blood from *vena femoralis* collected *post mortem* is likely a useful medium for monitoring recent exposure to mercury. The samples of blood from the heart were not homogenous and could not be used to evaluate possible post mortal redistributions of mercury.

Despite the fact that the concentrations of I-Hg in both blood and brain cortex were significantly correlated with the number of dental surfaces with amalgam fillings, there was no significant correlation between I-Hg in blood and brain cortex (Table (Table33 and Figure Figure4).4). This may be explained by the differences in toxicokinetics between elemental mercury (Hg^0) and oxidized mercury. Although elemental mercury is rapidly oxidized by catalase in the blood to mercuric mercury (Hg^{2+}), a substantial fraction of the inhaled elemental mercury will pass the blood brain barrier before being oxidized. Probably, most of the mercury vapor is then oxidized to mercuric mercury (Hg^{2+}) in the brain. Mercuric mercury does not pass the blood brain barrier and, consequently, it is not distributed as such into the brain. Amalgam fillings not only release elemental mercury vapor, but they also corrode and release corrosion products [41]. These corrosion products contain mercuric mercury and other metals (*e.g.* silver), which are swallowed and partly absorbed in the GI tract into blood [42]. Individual variation in exposure to corrosion products released from amalgam fillings may contribute to the lack of correlation between inorganic Hg in blood and inorganic Hg in brain in addition to the differences in toxicokinetics of I-Hg in blood and brain.

One individual (case 6) had a relatively high I-Hg in blood (22.4 $\mu\text{g/L}$), constituting about 82 % of the total blood Hg. This individual had a high number of dental amalgam restorations (43 amalgam surfaces), but there were no obvious signs of bruxism or intense chewing which could explain the high level of I-Hg in blood [43]. In conflict with the high level of I-Hg in blood, the concentration of I-Hg in brain of this case (9 $\mu\text{g/kg}$) was in the same range as for the rest of the group without previous occupational exposure to mercury. An explanation could be that the corrosion rate of the amalgam restorations was elevated and thus, the gastrointestinal exposure to amalgam corrosion products was higher than normal, although the exposure to mercury vapor derived from amalgam restorations was in the normal range. This was the only case from the Department for Forensic medicine, and another possibility is that movement of the body after death may have influenced *post mortem* redistribution of mercury [38].

There was a significant correlation between I-Hg in brain cortex and the number of amalgam surfaces, but only 30 % of the variance was explained by the regression model (Figure 3; $r = 0.55$, $r^2 = 0.30$). This may in part be due to variations between individuals regarding release rate of mercury vapor from dental amalgam, and the toxicokinetic variations between individuals with regard to elemental mercury vapor [8,10,44,45]. Further, additional variation could be due to demethylated Hg derived from MeHg in the brain [33]. A possible effect from variations in selenium status on I-Hg in brain needs further analyses.

We found one case with considerably higher brain inorganic mercury concentration than the others. Although, occupational exposure to mercury was an exclusion criterium, when the study was designed, it was first when we carefully examined the historical hospital records that we found out that this case was a retired dental nurse. She was the oldest individual in the study group and had probably been working as a dental assistant at times when the mercury exposure in dental clinics in Norway was considerably higher than today [46]. As the exposure to mercury in dental personnel in Norway was relatively high in the 1960ies, with reported median concentration of mercury in urine among dental nurses of 32 $\mu\text{g/L}$ urine (160 nmol/L) with a range from 1.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 620 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (7.5 to 3100 nmol/L) [47], exclusion of this case was justified.

It is well known that occupational exposure to high concentrations of mercury vapor can result in high levels of mercury in brain and other tissues several decades after the cessation of the exposure [48-50], indicating long half-times for inorganic mercury stored in the brain after exposure to high levels of mercury vapor. However, in conflict with data reported by Weiner and Nylander [51] the regression model analyzing the effects from amalgam surfaces, age, gender, and MeHg in blood on the concentrations of I-Hg in brain (excluding the dental nurse; Table 4) showed no significant influence by age, suggesting that the major fraction of mercury derived from amalgam restorations has a relatively short half-time in brain. However, the statistical power was too low to exclude a minor effect from age. The observations of high levels of I-Hg in brain several years after the cessation of occupational exposure to high levels of mercury vapor, but no significant effect from age in the regression analysis (Table 4), suggests that the kinetics of I-Hg stored in brain after exposure to mercury vapor may be dose dependent with an induction of an alternative pathway for deposition of I-Hg in the brain. One hypothesis is that when the exposure to mercury vapor is low, Hg is bound to sites with low total capacity, but with higher affinity than to a proposed selenium metabolite forming highly insoluble HgSe with long biological half-time in the brain (see above) [52]. Alternatively, there is a mercury induced selenium dependent mechanism resulting in a formation of highly insoluble mercury selenide which could explain the observations.

The concentrations of total mercury in occipital cortex found in the remaining cases, without known occupational exposure to mercury, were similar to the concentrations of total mercury earlier reported for deceased individuals without occupational exposure to mercury [9], but lower than those reported by Saxe et al [53]. A significant correlation between mercury in brain and number of surfaces filled with amalgam is reported previously [9]. However, Saxe et al [53] found no significant correlation between exposure to dental amalgam and total

mercury in brain, which may be due to the impact from MeHg in the diet, which not was considered.

It is previously reported that mercury is stored in the pituitary of dental personnel [49]. In the present study significant correlations between number of surfaces filled with amalgam and mercury concentrations in pituitary and thyroid. Probably, mercury is stored together with selenium in these tissues, resulting in very long biological half times [54]. High concentrations of I-Hg in pituitary were also reported after experimental exposure of monkeys to MeHg [33] and there was some evidence for a co-accumulation of I-Hg with selenium [55].

There were no significant correlations between mercury concentration in abdominal muscle and mercury concentration in any of the other tissues, and the hypothesis that muscle biopsy could be used to predict mercury concentrations in the brain [56] was not supported.

The quality control of the mercury analyses indicated acceptable results. The problems with the stability of MeHg in reference materials stored for long times [57] was illustrated with the results from the analyses of the reference material LUTS-1. Obviously, there was a break down of MeHg to I-Hg over time, resulting in a significant decrease of MeHg in the reference material without a decrease of total-Hg in the sample. Consequently, Hg and MeHg were removed from the certificate of LUTS-1 in the revision of January 2005 [58].

[Go to:](#)

Conclusion

In a fish-eating population, MeHg from the diet has a marked impact on total mercury concentration in the brain. Discrimination between mercury species is thus necessary to evaluate impact of dental amalgam exposure as well as fish consumption on Hg concentrations in the brain.

MeHg in blood is a useful marker for MeHg in brain. Total-Hg in toenails is a convenient and useful biomarker for MeHg in brain.

There were no useful biomarkers for I-Hg in the brain, but for individuals without occupational exposure to mercury, the number of tooth surfaces filled with amalgam was an indicator of concentration of I-Hg in brain at time of death, and a useful exposure indicator at a group level.

[Go to:](#)

Abbreviations

CH₃Hg⁺ Methylmercury

CVAFS Cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry

GC-ICPMS Coupled gas chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

Hg Mercury

Hg⁰ Elemental mercury

Hg²⁺ Mercuric mercury

ICP-SFMS Sector field inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry

I-Hg Inorganic mercury

IU International unit

MeHg Methylmercury

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

SD Standard deviation

SRM Standard Reference Material

[Go to:](#)

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

[Go to:](#)

Authors' contributions

LB conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination, performed the statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript. BFL participated in the study design, performed the sample collection, participated in the dental examinations and sample preparation, and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. TL participated in the study design, performed the sample collection and dental examinations, participated in the sample preparation, and contributed particularly to the Methods section of the manuscript. BIB assisted in the study design, provided expert advice on post mortal tissue sampling and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. IM assisted in the study design, provided expert advice on post mortal tissue sampling and aided in the preparation of the manuscript. PL assisted in the study design, provided expert advice on post mortal tissue sampling and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. BL assisted in the study design, provided expert advice on trace element analysis and analytical quality control and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. BP performed the trace element speciation analysis and aided in the preparation of the manuscript. MV assisted in the study design, provided expert advice and contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

[Go to:](#)

Acknowledgements

Sissel Hjelgestad is gratefully acknowledged for excellent technical assistance during sample collection. Andreas Myking and Anne Chr. Johannessen are acknowledged for constructive discussions. This project was supported by the Centre for Clinical Dental Research at the Faculty of Dentistry, University of Bergen.

[Go to:](#)

References

1. Clarkson TW, Magos L, Myers GJ. The toxicology of mercury – current exposures and clinical manifestations. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349:1731–1737. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra022471. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. Socialstyrelsen . Kvicksilver/amalgam hälsorisker (LEK-rapporten) Rapport från socialstyrelsens expertgrupp med uppgift att utreda effekter av lågdosexponering för kvicksilver. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 1987. [[Google Scholar](#)]
3. Socialstyrelsen . Blir man sjuk av amalgam? Rapport från Socialstyrelsens expertgrupp. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 1994. [[Google Scholar](#)]
4. USPHS . US Public Health Service Committee to Coordinate Environmental Health and Related Programs Subcommittee on Risk Management. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 1993. Dental amalgam: a scientific review and recommended public health service strategy for research, education, and regulation.<http://www.health.gov/environment/amalgam1/ct.htm> Accessed September 27, 2007. [[Google Scholar](#)]
5. Health Canada The Safety of Dental Amalgam. Minister of Supply and Services Canada. 1996. http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mps/alt_formats/hpfb-dgpsa/pdf/md-im/dent_amalgam_e.pdf Accessed September 27, 2007.
6. Norwegian Board of Health . The use of dental filling materials in Norway. Oslo: Norwegian Board of Health; 1999. http://www.helsetilsynet.no/upload/Publikasjoner/andrepublikasjoner/use_dental_filling_materials_norway_ik-2675.pdf Accessed September 27, 2007. [[Google Scholar](#)]
7. Gay DD, Cox RD, Reinhardt JW. Chewing releases mercury from fillings. *Lancet*. 1979;1:985–986. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(79)91773-2. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
8. Björkman L, Lind B. Factors influencing mercury evaporation rate from dental amalgam fillings. *Scand J Dent Res*. 1992;100:354–360. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
9. Nylander M, Friberg L, Lind B. Mercury concentrations in the human brain and kidneys in relation to exposure from dental amalgam fillings. *Swed Dent J*. 1987;11:179–187. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
10. Sällsten G, Thoren J, Barregård L, Schutz A, Skarping G. Long-term use of nicotine chewing gum and mercury exposure from dental amalgam fillings. *J Dent Res*. 1996;75:594–598. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

11. Kingman A, Albertini T, Brown LJ. Mercury concentrations in urine and whole blood associated with amalgam exposure in a US military population. *J Dent Res.* 1998;77:461–471. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
12. International Programme on Chemical Safety . Environmental health criteria 118 Inorganic mercury. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1991. [[Google Scholar](#)]
13. Vahter M, Åkesson A, Lind B, Björs U, Schutz A, Berglund M. Longitudinal study of methylmercury and inorganic mercury in blood and urine of pregnant and lactating women, as well as in umbilical cord blood. *Environ Res.* 2000;84:186–194. doi: 10.1006/enrs.2000.4098. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
14. Berglund M, Lind B, Björnberg KA, Palm B, Einarsson O, Vahter M. Inter-individual variations of human mercury exposure biomarkers: a cross-sectional assessment. *Environ Health.* 2005;4:20. doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-4-20. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
15. Rodushkin I, Ödman F, Olofsson R, Axelsson MD. Determination of 60 elements in whole blood by sector field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry.* 2000;15:937–944. doi: 10.1039/b003561k. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
16. Axelsson MD, Rodushkin I. Multi-element analysis of body fluids by double-focusing ICP-MS. *Transworld Res Network Recent Res Devel Pure & Applied Chem.* 2001;5:51–66. [[Google Scholar](#)]
17. Engström E, Stenberg A, Senioukh S, Edelbro R, Baxter DC, Rodushkin I. Multi-elemental characterization of soft biological tissues by inductively coupled plasma-sector field mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta.* 2004;521:123–135. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2004.06.030. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
18. Rodushkin I, Axelsson MD. Application of double focusing sector field ICP-MS for multielemental characterization of human hair and nails. Part I. Analytical methodology. *Sci Total Environ.* 2000;250:83–100. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00369-7. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
19. Rodushkin I, Engström E, Stenberg A, Baxter DC. Determination of low-abundance elements at ultra-trace levels in urine and serum by inductively coupled plasma-sector field mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2004;380:247–257. doi: 10.1007/s00216-004-2742-7. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
20. Analytica <http://www.analytica.se> Accessed September 27, 2007.
21. Baxter DC, Rodushkin I, Engström E, Klockare D, Waara H. Methylmercury measurement in whole blood by isotope-dilution GC-ICPMS with 2 sample preparation methods. *Clin Chem.* 2007;53:111–116. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2007.072520. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
22. NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/prc/section1/prc16.htm> Accessed September 27, 2007.
23. Olstad ML, Holland RI, Wandel N, Pettersen AH. Correlation between amalgam restorations and mercury concentrations in urine. *J Dent Res.* 1987;66:1179–1182. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

24. Ahlqwist M, Bengtsson C, Furunes B, Hollender L, Lapidus L. Number of amalgam tooth fillings in relation to subjectively experienced symptoms in a study of Swedish women. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1988;16:227–231. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.1988.tb01760.x. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
25. Ahlqwist M, Bengtsson C, Lapidus L. Number of amalgam fillings in relation to cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer and early death in Swedish women. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1993;21:40–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.1993.tb00717.x. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
26. Björkman L, Pedersen NL, Lichtenstein P. Physical and mental health related to dental amalgam fillings in Swedish twins. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1996;24:260–267. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.1996.tb00856.x. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
27. Factor-Litvak P, Hasselgren G, Jacobs D, Begg M, Kline J, Geier J, Mervish N, Schoenholtz S, Graziano J. Mercury derived from dental amalgams and neuropsychologic function. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2003;111:719–723. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
28. Bates MN, Fawcett J, Garrett N, Cutress T, Kjellstrom T. Health effects of dental amalgam exposure: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2004;33:894–902. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyh164. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
29. Bellinger DC, Daniel D, Trachtenberg F, Tavares M, McKinlay S. Dental amalgam restorations and children's neuropsychological function: the New England Children's Amalgam Trial. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2007;115:440–446. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
30. Kingman A, Albers JW, Arezzo JC, Garabrant DH, Michalek JE. Amalgam exposure and neurological function. *Neurotoxicology.* 2005;26:241–255. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2004.09.008. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
31. Buzalaf MA, Pessan JP, Alves KM. Influence of growth rate and length on fluoride detection in human nails. *Caries Res.* 2006;40:231–238. doi: 10.1159/000092231. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
32. Grandjean P, Budtz-Jorgensen E. Total imprecision of exposure biomarkers: implications for calculating exposure limits. *Am J Ind Med.* 2007;50:712–719. doi: 10.1002/ajim.20474. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
33. Vahter ME, Mottet NK, Friberg LT, Lind SB, Charleston JS, Burbacher TM. Demethylation of methyl mercury in different brain sites of *Macaca fascicularis* monkeys during long-term subclinical methyl mercury exposure. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 1995;134:273–284. doi: 10.1006/taap.1995.1193. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
34. Björkman L, Mottet K, Nylander M, Vahter M, Lind B, Friberg L. Selenium concentrations in brain after exposure to methylmercury: relations between the inorganic mercury fraction and selenium. *Arch Toxicol.* 1995;69:228–234. doi: 10.1007/s002040050163. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

35. Weihe P, Grandjean P, Debes F, White R. Health implications for Faroe islanders of heavy metals and PCBs from pilot whales. *Sci Total Environ.* 1996;186:141–148. doi: 10.1016/0048-9697(96)05094-2. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
36. Pedersen MB, Hansen JC, Mulvad G, Pedersen HS, Gregersen M, Danscher G. Mercury accumulations in brains from populations exposed to high and low dietary levels of methyl mercury. Concentration, chemical form and distribution of mercury in brain samples from autopsies. *Int J Circumpolar Health.* 1999;58:96–107. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
37. Passos CJ, Mergler D, Lemire M, Fillion M, Guimaraes JR. Fish consumption and bioindicators of inorganic mercury exposure. *Sci Total Environ.* 2007;373:68–76. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.11.015. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
38. Yarema MC, Becker CE. Key concepts in postmortem drug redistribution. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)* 2005;43:235–241. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
39. Jokstad A, Thomassen Y, Bye E, Clench-Aas J, Aaseth J. Dental amalgam and mercury. *Pharmacol Toxicol.* 1992;70:308–313. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
40. Vamnes JS, Eide R, Isrenn R, Høl PJ, Gjerdet NR. Blood mercury following DMPS administration to subjects with and without dental amalgam. *Sci Total Environ.* 2003;308:63–71. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00630-7. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
41. Lygre GB, Høl PJ, Eide R, Isrenn R, Gjerdet NR. Mercury and silver in saliva from subjects with symptoms self-related to amalgam fillings. *Clin Oral Investig.* 1999;3:216–218. doi: 10.1007/s007840050104. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
42. af Geijersstam E, Sandborgh-Englund G, Jonsson F, Ekstrand J. Mercury uptake and kinetics after ingestion of dental amalgam. *J Dent Res.* 2001;80:1793–1796. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
43. Barregård L, Sällsten G, Järholm B. People with high mercury uptake from their own dental amalgam fillings. *Occup Environ Med.* 1995;52:124–128. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
44. Jonsson F, Sandborgh-Englund G, Johanson G. A compartmental model for the kinetics of mercury vapor in humans. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 1999;155:161–168. doi: 10.1006/taap.1998.8585. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
45. Custodio HM, Harari R, Gerhardsson L, Skerfving S, Broberg K. Genetic influences on the retention of inorganic mercury. *Arch Environ Occup Health.* 2005;60:17–23. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
46. Gundersen N, Lie A. Kvikksølveksponering på tannlegekontorer. *Nor Tannlegefor Tid.* 1981;91:219–226. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
47. Lenvik K, Woldbæk T, Halgard K. Kvikksølveksponering blant tannhelsepersonell. *Nor Tannlegeforen Tid.* 2006;116:350–356. [[Google Scholar](#)]
48. Kosta L, Byrne AR, Zelenko V. Correlation between selenium and mercury in man following exposure to inorganic mercury. *Nature.* 1975;254:238–239. doi: 10.1038/254238a0. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
49. Nylander M, Friberg L, Eggleston D, Björkman L. Mercury accumulation in tissues from dental staff and controls in relation to exposure. *Swed Dent J.* 1989;13:235–243. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

50. Falnoga I, Tusek-Znidaric M, Horvat M, Stegnar P. Mercury, selenium, and cadmium in human autopsy samples from Idrija residents and mercury mine workers. *Environ Res.* 2000;84:211–218. doi: 10.1006/enrs.2000.4116. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
51. Weiner JA, Nylander M. The relationship between mercury concentration in human organs and different predictor variables. *Sci Total Environ.* 1993;138:101–115. doi: 10.1016/0048-9697(93)90408-X. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
52. Khayat AI. Disposition of metallic mercury vapor and mercuric chloride in adult and fetal tissues: influence of pretreatment with ethyl alcohol, aminotriazole, selenium, and tellurium. PhD-thesis Uppsala University, Abstracts of Uppsala dissertations from the Faculty of Pharmacy. 1985.
53. Saxe SR, Wekstein MW, Kryscio RJ, Henry RG, Cornett CR, Snowdon DA, Grant FT, Schmitt FA, Donegan SJ, Wekstein DR, Ehmann WD, Markesbery WR. Alzheimer's disease, dental amalgam and mercury. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1999;130:191–199. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
54. Nylander M, Weiner J. Relation between mercury and selenium in pituitary glands of dental staff. *Br J Ind Med.* 1989;46:751–752. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
55. Björkman L. Studies on dental amalgam and mercury exposure, accumulation and effects. PhD-thesis Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska institutet. 1995.
56. Nylander M, Friberg L, Weiner J. Muscle biopsy as an indicator for predicting mercury concentrations in the brain. *Br J Ind Med.* 1990;47:575–576. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
57. Horvat M. Current status and future needs for biological and environmental reference materials certified for methylmercury compounds. *Chemosphere.* 1999;39:1167–1179. doi: 10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00185-X. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
58. NRCC, Institute for National Measurement Standards LUTS-1. Non Defatted Lobster Hepatopaneas. Reference Material for Trace Metals. Ottawa: National Research Council of Canada Institute for National Measurement Standards. 2005. http://inms-ienm.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/calserv/crm_files_e/LUTS1_certificate.pdf Accessed September 27, 2007.




Articles from Environmental Health are provided here courtesy of **BioMed Central**

Formats:

- Article
- |
- [PubReader](#)
- |

- [ePub \(beta\)](#)
- [PDF \(400K\)](#)
- [Citation](#)

Share

-  [Facebook](#)
-  [Twitter](#)
-  [Google+](#)

Save items

[Add to Favorites](#)[View more options](#)

Similar articles in PubMed

- [Inter-individual variations of human mercury exposure biomarkers: a cross-sectional assessment.](#)[Environ Health. 2005]
- [Longitudinal study of methylmercury and inorganic mercury in blood and urine of pregnant and lactating women, as well as in umbilical cord blood.](#)[Environ Res. 2000]
- [Exposure to methylmercury in non-fish-eating people in Sweden.](#)[Environ Res. 2004]
- [Human health effects of methylmercury exposure.](#)[Rev Environ Contam Toxicol. 2009]
- [Human mercury exposure and effects in Europe.](#)[Environ Toxicol Chem. 2014]

[See reviews...](#)[See all...](#)

Cited by other articles in PMC

- [Cannabis Contaminants Limit Pharmacological Use of Cannabidiol](#)[Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2020]
- [Uptake, efflux, and toxicity of inorganic and methyl mercury in the endothelial cells \(EA.hy926\)](#)[Scientific Reports. 2020]
- [Methylmercury exposure, genetic variation in metabolic enzymes, and the risk of glioma](#)[Scientific Reports. 2019]
- [Elemental Analysis of Aging Human Pituitary Glands Implicates Mercury as a Contributor to the Somatopause](#)[Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2019]
- [Correlation Over Time of Toenail Metals Among Participants in the VA Normative Aging Study from 1992 to 2014](#)

[See all...](#)

Links

- [Compound](#)
 - [PubMed](#)
 - [Substance](#)
 - [Taxonomy](#)
-

Recent Activity

[ClearTurn Off](#)

- [Mercury in human brain, blood, muscle and toenails in relation to exposure: an a...](#)
-

Mercury in human brain, blood, muscle and toenails in relation to exposure: an autopsy study

Environmental Health. 2007; 6()30

- [On the Chalcogenophilicity of Mercury: Evidence for a Strong Hg–Se Bond in \[TmBu...](#)
-

On the Chalcogenophilicity of Mercury: Evidence for a Strong Hg–Se Bond in [TmBut]HgSePh and its Relevance to the Toxicity of Mercury

NIHPA Author Manuscripts. 2010 Jan 20; 132(2)647

- [Dimethylmercury Formation Mediated by Inorganic and Organic Reduced Sulfur Surfa...](#)
-

Dimethylmercury Formation Mediated by Inorganic and Organic Reduced Sulfur Surfaces

Scientific Reports. 2016; 6()

[See more...](#)

- [Chewing releases mercury from fillings.](#)[Lancet. 1979]
 - [Factors influencing mercury evaporation rate from dental amalgam fillings.](#)[Scand J Dent Res. 1992]
 - [Mercury concentrations in the human brain and kidneys in relation to exposure from dental amalgam fillings.](#)[Swed Dent J. 1987]
 - [Mercury concentrations in urine and whole blood associated with amalgam exposure in a US military population.](#)[J Dent Res. 1998]
 - [Longitudinal study of methylmercury and inorganic mercury in blood and urine of pregnant and lactating women, as well as in umbilical cord blood.](#)[Environ Res. 2000]
-

- [Inter-individual variations of human mercury exposure biomarkers: a cross-sectional assessment.](#)[Environ Health. 2005]
- [Application of double focusing sector field ICP-MS for multielemental characterization of human hair and nails. Part I. Analytical methodology.](#)[Sci Total Environ. 2000]
- [Determination of low-abundance elements at ultra-trace levels in urine and serum by inductively coupled plasma-sector field mass spectrometry.](#)[Anal Bioanal Chem. 2004]
- [Methylmercury measurement in whole blood by isotope-dilution GC-ICPMS with 2 sample preparation methods.](#)[Clin Chem. 2007]
- [Correlation between amalgam restorations and mercury concentrations in urine.](#)[J Dent Res. 1987]
- [Number of amalgam tooth fillings in relation to subjectively experienced symptoms in a study of Swedish women.](#)[Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1988]
- [Dental amalgam restorations and children's neuropsychological function: the New England Children's Amalgam Trial.](#)[Environ Health Perspect. 2007]
- [Amalgam exposure and neurological function.](#)[Neurotoxicology. 2005]

[See more ...](#)

- [Influence of growth rate and length on fluoride detection in human nails.](#)[Caries Res. 2006]
- [Inter-individual variations of human mercury exposure biomarkers: a cross-sectional assessment.](#)[Environ Health. 2005]
- [Total imprecision of exposure biomarkers: implications for calculating exposure limits.](#)[Am J Ind Med. 2007]
- [Demethylation of methyl mercury in different brain sites of Macaca fascicularis monkeys during long-term subclinical methyl mercury exposure.](#)[Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1995]
- [Selenium concentrations in brain after exposure to methylmercury: relations between the inorganic mercury fraction and selenium.](#)[Arch Toxicol. 1995]
- [**Review** Health implications for Faroe islanders of heavy metals and PCBs from pilot whales.](#)[Sci Total Environ. 1996]
- [Mercury accumulations in brains from populations exposed to high and low dietary levels of methyl mercury. Concentration, chemical form and distribution of mercury in brain samples from autopsies.](#)[Int J Circumpolar Health. 1999]
- [Fish consumption and bioindicators of inorganic mercury exposure.](#)[Sci Total Environ. 2007]
- [**Review** Key concepts in postmortem drug redistribution.](#)[Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2005]
- [Dental amalgam and mercury.](#)[Pharmacol Toxicol. 1992]

- [Blood mercury following DMPS administration to subjects with and without dental amalgam.](#)[Sci Total Environ. 2003]
- [Mercury and silver in saliva from subjects with symptoms self-related to amalgam fillings.](#)[Clin Oral Investig. 1999]
- [Mercury uptake and kinetics after ingestion of dental amalgam.](#)[J Dent Res. 2001]
- [People with high mercury uptake from their own dental amalgam fillings.](#)[Occup Environ Med. 1995]
- [Review Key concepts in postmortem drug redistribution.](#)[Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2005]
- [Factors influencing mercury evaporation rate from dental amalgam fillings.](#)[Scand J Dent Res. 1992]
- [Long-term use of nicotine chewing gum and mercury exposure from dental amalgam fillings.](#)[J Dent Res. 1996]

[See more ...](#)

- [\[Exposure to mercury in dental offices. An epidemiological study\].](#)[Nor Tannlaegeforen Tid. 1981]
- [Correlation between selenium and mercury in man following exposure to inorganic mercury.](#)[Nature. 1975]
- [Mercury, selenium, and cadmium in human autopsy samples from Idrija residents and mercury mine workers.](#)[Environ Res. 2000]
- [The relationship between mercury concentration in human organs and different predictor variables.](#)[Sci Total Environ. 1993]
- [Mercury concentrations in the human brain and kidneys in relation to exposure from dental amalgam fillings.](#)[Swed Dent J. 1987]
- [Alzheimer's disease, dental amalgam and mercury.](#)[J Am Dent Assoc. 1999]
- [Mercury accumulation in tissues from dental staff and controls in relation to exposure.](#)[Swed Dent J. 1989]
- [Relation between mercury and selenium in pituitary glands of dental staff.](#)[Br J Ind Med. 1989]
- [Demethylation of methyl mercury in different brain sites of Macaca fascicularis monkeys during long-term subclinical methyl mercury exposure.](#)[Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1995]
- [Muscle biopsy as an indicator for predicting mercury concentrations in the brain.](#)[Br J Ind Med. 1990]
- [Current status and future needs for biological and environmental reference materials certified for methylmercury compounds.](#)[Chemosphere. 1999]

external link. Please review our [privacy policy](#).

[NLM](#)

[NIH](#)

[DHHS](#)

[USA.gov](#)

[National Center for Biotechnology Information](#), [U.S. National Library of Medicine](#)